# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

# **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Martin Garcia			Case Number:	CR 11-1907-PHX-DGC		
	ordance tablished		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	been held. I conclude that the following facts		
	-	y clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant ending trial in this case.				
	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
		P	ART I FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe	that the defendant has committe	d		
		an offense for which a max 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or	kimum term of imprisonment of te 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.	en years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§		
		an offense under 18 U.S.C	s. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).			
		an offense listed in 18 U.S. imprisonment of ten years	C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crin or more is prescribed.	nes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of		
		an offense involving a mind	or victim prescribed in	.1		
	(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combine conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the committee of the commi			finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.		
			Alternative Findings			
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
$\boxtimes$	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
	(4)					
			STATEMENT OF REASONS FO	OR DETENTION		
	(1)	find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:  In addition to the nature of the instant allegation which involves an instrumentality which the Congress has prohibited relons from possessing in light of the potential for danger, Defendant has a criminal history which includes a conviction for domestic violence.				

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnapping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
×	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
×	There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
The do	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: adant believes placement at a half-way house facility would be appropriate.			
fact th The N super	ition: g to the risk of flight are Defendant's recent history of failing to comply with the conditions of court supervision and the at the instant offense arose at a time when Defendant was recently released from incarceration and while on parole. ew Mexico Pretrial Services Report writer also indicated that Defendant had a past history of absconding while on rision. Noteworthy too is the apparent absence of candor, as noted in the Pretrial Services Report, with respect to dant's reporting of his social history.			

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

#### **PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of November, 2011.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge